



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/644,406   | 08/19/2003            | Gunter D. Niemeyer   | 017516-002120US     | 7931             |  |
| 20350  | 20350 7590 10/18/2004 |                      |                     | EXAMINER         |  |
|  | AND TOWNSEND          | GARLAND, STEVEN R    |                     |                  |  |
| TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER<br>EIGHTH FLOOR<br>SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 |                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                       |                      | 2125                |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/644,406  | NIEMEYER ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  |   | Steven R Garland  | 2125   |  |  |  |
| Th<br>Period for Re  | e MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>ply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re | ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the form of the ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute serviced by the Office later than three months after the mailing and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Res  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)∏ This  | ) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition o  | of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Clai<br>4a) (<br>5)□ Clai<br>6)□ Clai<br>7)□ Clai  | m(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or el  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application F  | apers   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) <u></u> The   | specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) <u></u> The  | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Appl   | icant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
|  | lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct<br>oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |   | , ,  |  |  |  |
| Priority unde  | r 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) <u></u> Al<br>1.  | Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received. s have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   | 1   |  |  |  |  |
| _  | deferences Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |
| 2)   | oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Mail Date  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |  |  |  |  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/644,406

Art Unit: 2125

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a robotic system with a mapping transformation, classified in class 700, subclass 251.
  - II. Claims 8-9, drawn to a robotic system with a particular input device having a grip sensor, classified in class 700, subclass 264.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as use in a system in which the commands are input by the use of a joystick or keyboard. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Barrish on 10/7/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/644,406

Art Unit: 2125

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R Garland whose telephone number is 703-305-9759, after !0/13/04 at 571-272-3741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on 703-308-0538 after 10/12/04 at (571)272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVEN GARLAND

L-P.P-P

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100